

Reviewing the PIN

Katerina Syngellakis

katerina.syngellakis@itpower.co.uk



CDM capacity building workshop in Fiji, 28th January 2011



Presentation Overview

- n What is the role of the reviewer?
- n What to look for
- n How to help project developers



PIN submission

- n Not a part of the formal CDM process
- n Voluntary in many countries
- n Way of getting DNA's first opinion on project
- n Establish communication, openness and transparency between developer and DNA
- n Gives an idea of the pipeline of projects



Role of reviewer

- n Checking for a match between the project and the country's sustainability criteria / requirements
- n Fulfilling the fundamental requirements of CDM
 - Carbon reductions
 - Additionality (depending on project)
- n Adherence to template (if there is one)
 - Filling out all sections
- n Logic and consistency of the PIN



Role of reviewer

- n But there are other more “subtle” roles:
 - Quality of writing of PIN
 - Constructive criticism
 - Providing feedback
 - Helping projects develop in the right direction
 - Help developers avoid pitfalls
 - Is it easy to read – will it attract “buyers”?



What to look for

- n Country sustainability criteria
- n Example: Fiji
 - Types of projects which qualify:
 - n RE, EE
 - n Forestry, Waste Management, Transport
 - Social and Environmental criteria
 - n Social well-being
 - n Economic well-being
 - n Environmental well-being
 - n Technological well-being



What to look for

n Fiji

- Compatibility with national sustainable development goals and strategies;
- Compatibility with national laws and policies;
- Additionality criteria; and
- Monitoring and reporting mechanisms.
- Projects may require EIA



Example: Rwanda

- n Mandatory criteria – fundamental principles:
 - Benefits from the project will be sustained even after the lifetime of the project activity.
 - Stakeholder (men, women, youth) consultation
 - No corruption
 - Promotion of international, national and local strategies, plans and policies relevant to sustainable development
- n Environmental / social / economic mandatory criteria



Example: Rwanda:

- n Plus project must meet *at least one “other relevant criterion” from two of the three remaining categories* – environmental good practice, social aspects and economic benefits
- n E.g. Environmental good practice
 - Air pollution is reduced
 - Biodiversity is increased
- n E.g. Social aspects
 - Contribution to gender equality
 - Improved human health



How to help project developers

- n Provide clear, written feedback in a timely manner
- n Help them avoid pitfalls
- n Point out areas where project needs strengthening
- n Work in a cooperative manner
- n Communication and facilitation
- n Create links between developers, sources of technical assistance, consultants, etc.
- n IF PIN IS GIVEN NO OBJECTION
- n Point out potential sources of funding for the PDD



THANK YOU!

Katerina Syngellakis

katerina.syngellakis@itpower.co.uk

Senior Engineer

www.itpower.co.uk



CDM capacity building workshop in Fiji, 28th January 2011

